

Soft breaking of $L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetry: Light neutrino spectrum and Leptogenesis

Biswajit Adhikary*

Saha Institute of Nuclear Physics,
1/AF Bidhan Nagar, Kolkata 700064, India

Abstract

Continuous $U(1)_{L_\mu-L_\tau}$ symmetry can generate quasi degenerate mass spectrum for both left handed light and right handed heavy Majorana neutrinos assuming that the symmetry preserving non zero parameters are nearly same. There is an accidental $\mu\tau$ exchange symmetry in the light and heavy neutrino Majorana mass terms. This implies $\theta_{13} = 0$ and $\theta_{23} = \frac{\pi}{4}$. In addition it generates another zero mixing angle and one zero mass difference. We restrict ourselves to type-I See-Saw mechanism for generation of light neutrino mass. We have found that under $U(1)_{L_\mu-L_\tau}$ symmetry cosmological lepton asymmetry vanishes. We break $U(1)_{L_\mu-L_\tau}$ such a way that the $\mu\tau$ exchange symmetry preserves in the neutrino sector. We have seen that light neutrino phenomenology can be explained under soft breaking of this symmetry. We have observed that softness of this symmetry breaking depends on the degeneracy of the light neutrino mass spectrum. Quasi-degeneracy of right handed neutrino mass spectrum opens an option for resonant leptogenesis. The degeneracy of the right handed neutrino mass spectrum is restricted through light neutrino data. We observed that for generation of right sized baryon asymmetry common neutrino mass scale m_0 have to be of the order of $\sqrt{\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2}$ and corresponding right handed neutrino mass scale have to be nearly 10^{13} GeV. We also have discussed the effect of RG evolution on light neutrino spectrum and also on baryon asymmetry.

PACS number(s): 14.60.Pq, 11.30.Hv, 98.80.Cq

*biswajit.adhikary@saha.ac.in

1 Introduction

Neutrinos are massless particles in the Standard Model(SM). So, there is no option for leptonic mixing. Astronomical observations of neutrino oscillation in the last ten years have confirmed that neutrinos have masses and they can mix. Neutrino oscillation data provide us the information about the mass-square differences of the neutrinos and the leptonic mixing angles.

Solar neutrino [1, 2] and reactor neutrino [3] oscillation data analysis [4, 5] provide us large but non maximal solar mixing angle $\theta_{\odot} \equiv \theta_{12} \sim 34^\circ$ and small solar mass square difference $\Delta m_{\odot}^2 \equiv \Delta m_{21}^2 = m_2^2 - m_1^2 \sim 8 \times 10^{-5} \text{eV}^2$. Atmospheric neutrino [6] and accelerator neutrino oscillation data [7] analysis give almost maximal atmospheric mixing angle $\theta_{\text{atm}} \equiv \theta_{23} \sim 45^\circ$ and larger atmospheric mass square difference $\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2 \equiv |\Delta m_{32}^2| = |m_3^2 - m_2^2| \sim 2.1 \times 10^{-3} \text{eV}^2$ compare to solar mass square difference. The CHOOZ experiment [8] gives only a upper limit on θ_{13} [5], $\theta_{13} < 10^\circ$. Here the mixing angles are in the standard parametrization of PMNS matrix [9].

Apart from neutrino oscillation data there are neutrinoless double beta($0\nu\beta\beta$) decay experimental data [10] and WMAP data [11] about neutrino mass directly. Majorana character (particle is its own antiparticle) of neutrino gives an option for $0\nu\beta\beta$ decay. It provides direct bound on light neutrino mass scale [12]

$$m_{eff} \equiv |(m_{\nu})_{ee}| = \left| \sum_{i=1}^3 U_{ei}^2 m_i \right| < 0.89 \text{eV} \quad \text{at } 1\sigma. \quad (1.1)$$

On the other hand WMAP data gives bound on the sum of three generation masses of neutrinos. This bound is

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 m_i \leq 0.69 \text{eV} \quad \text{at } 95\% \text{ confidence level.} \quad (1.2)$$

It is necessary to point out that atmospheric neutrino data can not settle the sign of Δm_{32}^2 . This sign ambiguity generates two kinds of hierarchical mass spectrum. Those are normal hierarchical $m_1 \ll m_2 \ll m_3$ and inverted hierarchical $m_1 < m_2 \gg m_3$. For $m_{i,j} \gg \sqrt{|\Delta m_{ij}^2|}$ we can have quasidegenerate mass spectrum, $m_1 \approx m_2 \approx m_3$. In this case both normal and inverted ordering are also possible due to the same sign ambiguity of Δm_{32}^2 . So, from neutrino oscillation data we have

$$m_2 = m_0 \quad m_1 = \sqrt{m_0^2 - \Delta m_{\odot}^2} \quad m_3 = \sqrt{m_0^2 \pm \Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2}. \quad (1.3)$$

Now if we use these to WMAP bound we have

$$\begin{aligned} m_0 + \sqrt{m_0^2 - \Delta m_{\odot}^2} + \sqrt{m_0^2 \pm \Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2} &\leq 0.69 \text{eV} \\ \implies m_0 &\leq 0.23 \text{eV}. \end{aligned} \quad (1.4)$$

If m_0 remains in the range $\sqrt{\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2} \leq m_0 \leq 0.23 \text{eV}$ then hierarchical nature of the neutrino mass will no longer exist and we can have the degenerate kind of mass spectrum with both

type of ordering. In this article we want to study a flavor symmetry which leads to this kind of light neutrino mass spectrum along with the two large mixing angle considering one mixing angle zero.

Now we will briefly point out the existing flavor symmetric models. $\mu\tau$ exchange symmetry (permutation symmetry $S_2^{\mu\tau}$) [13, 14, 15,] in the neutrino sector generates following Majorana-like neutrino mass matrix,

$$m_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} A & B & B \\ B & C & D \\ B & D & C \end{pmatrix}. \quad (1.5)$$

It explains one zero mixing angle (θ_{13}) and one maximal mixing angle (θ_{23}). Other angle and all masses of light neutrinos are unconstrained. To gain more predictability various kinds of $U(1)$ flavor symmetry can be imposed. Among them three are phenomenologically important. Those are $U(1)_{L_e}$ [16,], $U(1)_{L_e-L_\mu-L_\tau}$ [17, 18, 19] and $U(1)_{L_\mu-L_\tau}$ [16]. We will call them as L_e , $L_e - L_\mu - L_\tau$ and $L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetry. These three flavor symmetries can explain three different kinds of neutrino mass spectrum. Normal and inverted hierarchical mass spectrum can be obtained under L_e and $L_e - L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetry respectively. But, $L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetry opens an option for degenerate kind of mass spectrum. It generates the following Majorana-like neutrino mass matrix

$$m_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & D \\ 0 & D & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad (1.6)$$

which gives $m_2 = m_3$, $\theta_{13} = \theta_{12} = 0$ and $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$. This is the special form of Eq. (1.5) with $B = C = 0$. If we assume $|A| \approx |D|$, we have $m_2 = m_3 \approx m_1$ which corresponds to “quasi degenerate” mass spectrum.

Discrete flavor symmetry A_4 [20] has an ability to produce degenerate kind of light neutrino mass spectrum. We concentrate only to the continuous $U(1)$ flavor symmetry $L_\mu - L_\tau$ in type-I see saw model with three generations of right handed neutrino. In this respect, it has also been shown that the flavor symmetry $L_\mu - L_\tau$ is (in the SM) anomaly free and may be gauged [21]. In [16] the authors make some general study of this $L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetry breaking under democratic as well as anarchical perturbation over $L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetric neutrino mass matrix along with the radiative corrections. They also pointed out that such kind of mass matrices can be generated through type-I see-saw mechanism. We disregard the radiative correction in our analysis. We will comment about it at the end. The $L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetric Majorana mass terms of neutrino (both left and right handed) shows permutation symmetry $S_2^{\mu\tau}$. To keep $\theta_{13} = 0$ and $\theta_{23} = \pi/4$, we break $L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetry keeping $S_2^{\mu\tau}$ symmetry in the neutrino sector only. $L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetry generate quasi-degenerate mass spectrum both in right and left handed neutrino under the assumption that the symmetry preserving non-zero parameters are nearly equal. It opens an option for resonant kind of leptogenesis. Present WMAP [11] prediction of baryon asymmetry (baryon density to photon density ratio) is

$$\eta_B = (6.1_{-0.2}^{+0.3}) \times 10^{-10}. \quad (1.7)$$

We will see that $L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetry forbids leptogenesis. We have tried to study whether it is possible or not to generate light neutrino phenomenological results and the right sized baryon asymmetry through leptogenesis under soft breaking of $L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetry.

In the Section. 2 we will introduce $L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetry to the Lagrangian relevant for our discussion and will show the structures of Dirac-type mass matrix and right handed neutrino mass matrix. In the subsequent two subsections of this section will consist of the study of light neutrino phenomenology and leptogenesis when $L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetry is preserved. In the next Section. 3 we will break the $L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetry. In its first Subsection 3.1 we will discuss about the possibility of generation of experimental values of light neutrino masses and mixing angles after soft breaking of $L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetry. In the next Subsection.3.2 we will study whether the broken $L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetry can generate right sized lepton asymmetry for predicting WMAP data of baryon asymmetry or not. We will discuss about the RG effects on our results at the end of this subsection. Then we will conclude in the last Section. 4.

2 $\tilde{L} = L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetry

For convenience from now we will call $L_\mu - L_\tau$ symmetry as $\tilde{L}$ symmetry. Before going to the details of this symmetry we want to introduce the Lagrangian relevant for our discussion. We have introduced three generations of $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ singlet right handed neutrinos in addition to SM particles. The relevant part of $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ symmetric Lagrangian for our discussion is

$$- \mathcal{L}_l^Y = \bar{\psi}_{lL}(Y^l)_{ll'}\Phi l'_{lR} + \bar{\psi}_{lL}(Y^\nu)_{ll'}\tilde{\Phi} N_{l'R} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}_{lL}^c(M_R)_{ll'}N_{l'R} + h.c. \quad (2.1)$$

where

$$\psi_{lL} = \begin{pmatrix} \nu_{lL} \\ l_L \end{pmatrix} \quad \Phi = \begin{pmatrix} \phi^+ \\ \phi^0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.2)$$

$\tilde{\Phi} = i\sigma_2\Phi^*$, $N_L^c = (N_R)^c = -\gamma_0 C N_R^*$ and $l, l' = e, \mu, \tau$. ν_{lL} and N_{lR} are the left and right handed neutrinos. Φ is standard scalar doublet containing charged scalar ϕ^+ and neutral scalar ϕ^0 . After $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ symmetry breaking to $U(1)_Q$ mass terms are generated through vacuum expectation values of Φ : $\langle \Phi \rangle = \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix}$. The mass terms are

$$- \mathcal{L}^m = \bar{l}_L(m_l)_{ll'}l'_{lR} + \bar{\nu}_{lL}(m_D)_{ll'}N_{l'R} + \frac{1}{2}\bar{N}_{lL}^c(M_R)_{ll'}N_{l'R} + h.c. \quad (2.3)$$

where $m_l = Y^l v / \sqrt{2}$ charged lepton mass matrix, $m_D = Y^\nu v / \sqrt{2}$ Dirac type neutrino mass matrix and M_R is the bare Majorana type right handed neutrino mass matrix. Without loss of generality we consider a basis where m_l is diagonal, $m_l = \text{diag}(m_e, m_\mu, m_\tau)$. Remaining part

of the mass Lagrangian Eq. (2.3) gives neutrino mass terms in the following form

$$- \mathcal{L}_\nu^m = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\nu}_L & \bar{N}_L^c \end{pmatrix}_l \begin{pmatrix} 0 & m_D \\ m_D^T & M_R \end{pmatrix}_{l'l'} \begin{pmatrix} \nu_R^c \\ N_R \end{pmatrix}_{l'} + h.c. \quad (2.4)$$

using the general identity

$$\bar{\psi}_L m \psi_R' = \bar{\psi}_L^c m^T \psi_R^c. \quad (2.5)$$

For $O(M_R) \gg O(m_D)$ we obtained effective Majorana-like light neutrino mass term

$$- \mathcal{L}_{\nu_L}^m = \frac{1}{2} \bar{\nu}_{lL} (m_\nu)_{ll'} \nu_{l'R}^c \quad (2.6)$$

where

$$m_\nu = -m_D M_R^{-1} m_D^T. \quad (2.7)$$

Eq. (2.7) is known as See-Saw formula which explains lightness of neutrino.

Now let us see the $\tilde{L}$ number of the different leptonic fields:

$$\begin{aligned} e, \nu_e, N_e &\rightarrow \tilde{L} = 0 \\ \mu, \nu_\mu, N_\mu &\rightarrow \tilde{L} = +1 \\ \tau, \nu_\tau, N_\tau &\rightarrow \tilde{L} = -1. \end{aligned} \quad (2.8)$$

All other fields have zero $\tilde{L}$ number. Now we want to impose $\tilde{L}$ symmetry to the Lagrangian. $\tilde{L}$ symmetry simultaneously diagonalizes m_l and m_D . Under this symmetry m_D and M_R take the following structure [16]

$$m_D = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & c \end{pmatrix} \quad M_R = \begin{pmatrix} p & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & q \\ 0 & q & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.9)$$

Without loss of generality we choose that the elements of m_D are real positive. So a , b and c are real positive. p and q are complex in general.

2.1 Neutrino mass and mixing

Using the $\tilde{L}$ symmetric form of m_D and M_R from Eq. (2.9) in the see saw formula in Eq. (2.7) we have

$$m_\nu = - \begin{pmatrix} \frac{a^2}{p} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{bc}{q} \\ 0 & \frac{bc}{q} & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.10)$$

Both M_R and m_ν shows the permutation symmetry $S_2^{\mu\tau}$ which is an accidental symmetry here. m_ν can be diagonalized as

$$U^\dagger m_\nu U^* = \text{diag}(m_1, m_2, m_3) \quad (2.11)$$

where

$$m_1 = \left| \frac{a^2}{p} \right| \quad m_2 = m_3 = \left| \frac{bc}{q} \right| \quad (2.12)$$

are the light neutrino masses. U relates the flavor basis to eigen basis of light neutrino:

$$\nu_{iL} = \sum_{i=1}^3 U_{li} \nu_{iL}. \quad (2.13)$$

This U is the leptonic mixing matrix. The form of U we obtain

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} ie^{-i\theta_p/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & ie^{-i\theta_q/2}/\sqrt{2} & e^{-i\theta_q/2}/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & ie^{-i\theta_q/2}/\sqrt{2} & -e^{-i\theta_q/2}/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix} \quad (2.14)$$

where $\theta_p = \arg(p)$ and $\theta_q = \arg(q)$. U of Eq. (2.14) can be rewritten as

$$U = U_{p_1} O U_{p_2} = \begin{pmatrix} \exp^{-i\theta_p/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \exp^{-i\theta_q/2} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -\exp^{-i\theta_q/2} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ 0 & \frac{-1}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & i & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.15)$$

The phases U_{p_1} can be absorbed to the charged lepton fields in charged current interaction. Non absorbable Majorana phases are in U_{p_2} . Matrix O gives the mixing angles. So we have the mass-squared differences

$$\Delta m_{32}^2 = 0 \quad \Delta m_{21}^2 = \left| \frac{bc}{q} \right|^2 - \left| \frac{a^2}{p} \right|^2 \quad (2.16)$$

and the mixing angles

$$\theta_{12} = \theta_{13} = 0 \quad \theta_{23} = \frac{\pi}{4} \quad (2.17)$$

For $a \approx b \approx c$ and $|p| \approx |q|$ we can have nearly degenerate mass spectrum. Recall that $\theta_{12} = 0$ is not a consequence of $S_2^{\mu\tau}$ symmetry: it is the additional constraint coming from the $\tilde{L}$ symmetry.

2.2 Leptogenesis

Let us briefly discuss about right handed Majorana neutrino decay generated leptogenesis. There is a Dirac type Yukawa interaction in Eq. (2.1) of right handed neutrino (N_l) with SM

lepton doublet and Higgs doublet. At the energy scale where $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ symmetry is preserved, physical right handed neutrino N_i with definite mass can decay both to charged lepton with charged scalar and light neutrino with neutral scalar. Due to the Majorana character of N_i conjugate process is also possible. If out of equilibrium decay of N_i in conjugate process occur at different rate from actual process, net lepton number will be generated. The CP asymmetry of decay is characterized by a parameter ε_i which is defined as

$$\varepsilon_i = \frac{\Gamma_{N_i \rightarrow l^- \phi^+, \nu_l \phi^0} - \Gamma_{N_i \rightarrow l^+ \phi^-, \nu_l^c \phi^{0*}}}{\Gamma_{N_i \rightarrow l^- \phi^+, \nu_l \phi^0} + \Gamma_{N_i \rightarrow l^+ \phi^-, \nu_l^c \phi^{0*}}}. \quad (2.18)$$

At $SU(2)_L \times U(1)_Y$ symmetry preserving scale we can have exactly the masses of the right handed neutrinos diagonalising only the bare mass matrix M_R in Eq. (2.9). We diagonalize M_R as

$$V^\dagger M_R V^* = \text{diag}(M_1, M_2, M_3) \quad (2.19)$$

where this V relates the flavor basis to eigen basis of right handed neutrino:

$$N_{lR} = \sum_{i=1}^3 V_{li}^* N_{iR}. \quad (2.20)$$

and the M_i are the masses of right handed neutrinos. Now starting with the general resummed effective Yukawa couplings in one loop for three generation of right handed neutrino from the Appendix-A Eq. A.7 in the Ref:[22] we obtain the two parts of ε_i , the general vertex part

$$\varepsilon_i^V = \frac{1}{4\pi v^2 h_{ii}} \sum_{j \neq i} \text{Im}(h_{ij}^2) \times \left[\sqrt{x_{ij}} \left\{ 1 - (1 + x_{ij}) \ln(1 + \frac{1}{x_{ij}}) \right\} \right] \quad (2.21)$$

and the self energy part

$$\varepsilon_i^S = \frac{1}{4\pi v^2 h_{ii}} \sum_{j \neq i} \text{Im}(h_{ij}^2) \times \left[\frac{(1 - x_{ij}) \sqrt{x_{ij}}}{(1 - x_{ij})^2 + (h_{jj}/4\pi v^2)^2} \right]. \quad (2.22)$$

where $x_{ij} = M_j^2/M_i^2$ and

$$h = m_D'^\dagger m_D', \quad (2.23)$$

where

$$m_D' = m_D V^*. \quad (2.24)$$

The vertex part ε_i^V in Eq. (2.21) has the standard form [23, 24]. The self energy ε_i^S part in Eq. (2.22) is true for general two generation right handed neutrino [22]. Complicated expression for three generation self energy part ε_i^S can be reduced to the expression in Eq. (2.22) for small Yukawa couplings or under some special conditions. One condition is that any one of the right handed neutrino has very larger value of mass compare to other two [22]. We observed that for any one generation k if $h_{kj} = 0$ for all $j \neq k$, then the expression in Eq. (2.22) also can be

used for three generation as well. It turns out to the fact that corresponding $\varepsilon_k = 0$. Other two generations can give nonzero ε_i ($i \neq k$), if $\text{Im}(h_{ij}^2) \neq 0$ and function in the parenthesis of Eq. (2.21) and Eq. (2.22) are nonzero. This whole discussion about the expression of ε_i is done keeping in mind that the right handed neutrinos can have masses with very close in value. There is a possibility in that case where the two quantities of the denominator in the self energy expression ε_i^S of Eq. (2.22) become comparable in value. This is equivalent to the resonant condition $M_i - M_j \approx \Gamma_{i,j}/2$ where Γ_i is the decay width of N_i . Then the self energy contribution ε_i^S will be enhanced compare to ε_i^V . This is so called resonant leptogenesis. For hierarchical mass spectrum of right handed neutrino the second term in the denominator of ε_i^S Eq. (2.22) for the self energy contribution become negligible and it can be reduced to the usual self energy function $\sqrt{x_{ij}}/(1 - x_{ij})$ [24].

Now coming back to our original discussion for this model we first state the results of diagonalization of $\tilde{L}$ symmetric M_R of Eq. (2.9) as in Eq. (2.19). The obtained masses of the right handed neutrinos are

$$M_1 = |p| \quad M_2 = M_3 = |q|. \quad (2.25)$$

The form of diagonalizing matrix V of Eq. (2.19) is

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} e^{i\theta_p/2} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & e^{i\theta_q/2}/\sqrt{2} & -ie^{i\theta_q/2}/\sqrt{2} \\ 0 & e^{i\theta_q/2}/\sqrt{2} & ie^{i\theta_q/2}/\sqrt{2} \end{pmatrix}. \quad (2.26)$$

Using this V we can easily get m'_D from Eq. (2.24). Putting this m'_D to Eq. (2.23) we can have the relevant matrix h for the calculation of ε_i . So, the obtained form of h is

$$\begin{aligned} h &= m_D^\dagger m'_D \\ &= \begin{pmatrix} a^2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (b^2 + c^2)/2 & -i(b^2 - c^2)/2 \\ 0 & i(b^2 - c^2)/2 & (b^2 + c^2)/2 \end{pmatrix}. \end{aligned} \quad (2.27)$$

$h_{12} = h_{13} = 0$ ensure that $\varepsilon_1 = 0$. So, N_1 decay can not generate lepton asymmetry. Remaining two generation are degenerate in mass. As $x_{23} = 1$ self energy part $\varepsilon_{2,3}^S$ as in Eq. (2.22) does not contribute to $\varepsilon_{2,3}$. But, as $\text{Im}(h_{23}^2) = 0$ both ε_2 and ε_3 vanishes individually. This is the artifact of the $\tilde{L}$ symmetry. So, lepton asymmetry cannot be generated when $\tilde{L}$ symmetry is exact. Without calculating decay asymmetry parameters one can check CP is preserved or not. We want to calculate a weak basis invariant CP odd quantity Δ_{CP} which have to be nonzero in order to generate non zero lepton asymmetry. Δ_{CP} is defined as [25]

$$\Delta_{\text{CP}} \equiv \text{ImTr} \left[m_D^\dagger m_D M_R^\dagger M_R M_R^\dagger m_D^T m_D^* M_R \right]. \quad (2.28)$$

In the physical mass basis of right handed neutrino it takes the form [25]

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta_{\text{CP}} &= M_1 M_2 (M_2^2 - M_1^2) \text{Im}(h_{12}^2) + M_1 M_3 (M_3^2 - M_1^2) \text{Im}(h_{13}^2) \\ &\quad + M_2 M_3 (M_3^2 - M_2^2) \text{Im}(h_{23}^2). \end{aligned} \quad (2.29)$$

$h_{12} = h_{13} = 0$ and $\text{Im}(h_{23}^2) = 0$ imply that $\Delta_{\text{CP}} = 0$. So, it explains the reason for vanishing of lepton asymmetry under $\tilde{L}$ symmetry. Before closing our discussion for this subsection we want to point out about the imposition of $S_2^{\mu\tau}$ symmetry in the neutrino sector only. Under $S_2^{\mu\tau}$ symmetry we have $b = c$ of the elements of m_D in Eq. (2.9). It makes h of Eq. (2.27) exactly diagonal. We will see that whether it is possible or not to generate lepton asymmetry along with light neutrino phenomenology under soft violation of $\tilde{L}$ symmetry keeping $S_2^{\mu\tau}$ unaltered.

3 Soft breaking of $\tilde{L}$ symmetry

As $\tilde{L}$ symmetric M_R and m_ν both shows the $S_2^{\mu\tau}$ symmetry, we break $\tilde{L}$ symmetry such a way that $S_2^{\mu\tau}$ symmetry preserves. We break the $\tilde{L}$ symmetry only in the right handed neutrino bare mass matrix M_R , preserving $S_2^{\mu\tau}$ and keeping the $\tilde{L}$ symmetry everywhere else for minimality. To see this $S_2^{\mu\tau}$ symmetry also in m_ν we have to impose this symmetry to m_D also which implies $b = c$ in m_D matrix of Eq. (2.9). Now let us write down the M_R and m_D including $\tilde{L}$ violating effects

$$m_D = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & b & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \quad M_R = \begin{pmatrix} p & \epsilon & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & \epsilon' & q \\ \epsilon & q & \epsilon' \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.1)$$

Here, ϵ and ϵ' are the $\tilde{L}$ symmetry violating small parameters and they are complex in general. Seeing also in the $\tilde{L}$ symmetric Δm_{21}^2 in Eq. (2.16) we require some assumptions which are $|p| \approx |q|$ and $b \approx a$. We quantify these assumptions as

$$|q| = |p|(1 + \Delta') \quad \text{and} \quad b = a(1 + \Delta) \quad (3.2)$$

for using in future. Here Δ and Δ' are the small numbers. This will generate quasi-degenerate mass spectrum for both in light and heavy neutrino sector. We also want to define three quantities which we will use in later time. Those are

$$\delta = \frac{\epsilon}{|p|}, \quad \delta' = \frac{\epsilon'}{|p|} \quad \text{and} \quad m_0 = \frac{a^2}{|p|}, \quad (3.3)$$

where δ , δ' are the measures of $\tilde{L}$ violation and m_0 is the common light neutrino mass scale. Now we want to study whether it is possible or not to generate right sized baryon asymmetry through lepton asymmetry along with the successful low energy light neutrino phenomenology.

3.1 Light neutrino phenomenology

Using $\tilde{L}$ symmetry violating m_D and M_R in see-saw formula in Eq. (2.7) we have the following form of light neutrino mass matrix

$$m_\nu = \begin{pmatrix} A & B & B \\ B & C & D \\ B & D & C \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.4)$$

which is in general $S_2^{\mu\tau}$ symmetric form. The elements are

$$A = -\frac{(q + \epsilon')a^2}{F} \quad B = \frac{ab\epsilon}{F} \quad C = -\frac{b^2(\epsilon^2 - p\epsilon')}{(q - \epsilon')F} \quad D = \frac{b^2(\epsilon^2 - pq)}{(q - \epsilon')F} \quad (3.5)$$

where

$$F = (pq + p\epsilon' - 2\epsilon^2). \quad (3.6)$$

B and C should be small because the $\tilde{L}$ symmetry is approximate. The dependence of B and C on the symmetry violating parameters ϵ and ϵ' ensure it. Next discussions are similar to $S_2^{\mu\tau}$ symmetric [13, 14, 15] case. Smallness of B and C is the special feature here. In the process of finding masses and mixing we first diagonalize H which is defined

$$H = m_\nu m_\nu^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} P & Q^* & Q^* \\ Q & R & S \\ Q & S & R \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.7)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P &= |A|^2 + 2|B|^2 & Q &= A^*B + B^*(C + D) \\ R &= |B|^2 + |C|^2 + |D|^2 & S &= |B|^2 + DC^* + CD^* \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

We diagonalize H as

$$U^\dagger H U = \text{diag}(m_1^2, m_2^2, m_3^2) \quad (3.9)$$

where the eigen values are [13, 14, 15]

$$m_{2,1}^2 = \frac{P + R + S \pm \sqrt{(R + S - P)^2 + 8|Q|^2}}{2} \quad m_3^2 = R - S \quad (3.10)$$

and the mixing matrix

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} J & \frac{\sqrt{2}Q^*J}{m_2^2 - P} & 0 \\ -\frac{QJ}{m_2^2 - P} & \frac{J}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{QJ}{m_2^2 - P} & \frac{J}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad J = \sqrt{\frac{m_2^2 - P}{m_2^2 - m_1^2}}. \quad (3.11)$$

This matrix U can diagonalize m_ν but the entries may not be real positive. So, additional diagonal phase matrix have to multiply in the right side of the above U . We are not interested about this phase matrix in the analysis of light neutrino phenomenology. We will see that such phase matrix play an important role in lepton asymmetry generation. We can rewrite U in Eq. (3.11), and we have

$$U = \begin{pmatrix} \exp^{i\theta_Q} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} J & \frac{\sqrt{2}|Q|J}{m_2^2-P} & 0 \\ -\frac{|Q|J}{m_2^2-P} & \frac{J}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ \frac{|Q|J}{m_2^2-P} & -\frac{J}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \exp^{-i\theta_Q} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & -1 \end{pmatrix} \quad (3.12)$$

where $\theta_Q = \arg(Q)$. The matrix in the middle of the above decomposition of U gives the mixing angle and it exactly matches with the O matrix in Eq. (2.15) in exact $\tilde{L}$ symmetric case, $Q = 0$ and $J = 1$. So, we have the mixing pattern as follow [13, 14, 15]

$$\begin{aligned} \theta_{13} &= 0 & \theta_{23} &= \pi/4 \\ \tan 2\theta_{12} &= \frac{2\sqrt{2}|Q|}{R+S-P}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.13)$$

Now from the Eq. (3.10) we have the mass differences

$$\Delta m_{21}^2 = \sqrt{(R+S-P)^2 + 8|Q|^2} = (R+S-P)\sqrt{1 + \tan^2 2\theta_{12}} = 2\sqrt{2}|Q|\sqrt{\frac{1 + \tan^2 2\theta_{12}}{\tan^2 2\theta_{12}}} \quad (3.14)$$

and

$$\Delta m_{32}^2 = -\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{2} \left[1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2 2\theta_{12}}} \right] - 2S. \quad (3.15)$$

These are the results from general $S_2^{\mu\tau}$ symmetric case. Now substituting the expression of A , B , C and D from Eq. (3.5) to P , Q , R and S of Eq. (3.8) we get the following approximate results keeping terms first order in δ , δ' , Δ and Δ'

$$\begin{aligned} R+S-P &\approx 2m_0^2(2\Delta - \Delta' - |\delta'| \cos \gamma) & Q &\approx -2m_0^2|\delta| \cos \frac{\rho}{2} \exp^{i\theta_Q} \\ S &\approx -2m_0^2\delta' \cos \gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (3.16)$$

which give us

$$\begin{aligned} \tan 2\theta_{12} &\approx \frac{2\sqrt{2}|\delta| \cos \frac{\rho}{2}}{2\Delta - \Delta' - |\delta'| \cos \gamma} \\ \Delta m_{21}^2 &\approx 2m_0^2(2\Delta - \Delta' - |\delta'| \cos \gamma)\sqrt{1 + \tan^2 2\theta_{12}} \\ &\approx 4\sqrt{2}m_0^2|\delta| \cos \frac{\rho}{2} \sqrt{\frac{1 + \tan^2 2\theta_{12}}{\tan^2 2\theta_{12}}} \\ \Delta m_{32}^2 &\approx -\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{2} \left[1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \tan^2 2\theta_{12}}} \right] + 4m_0^2|\delta'| \cos \gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (3.17)$$

where the phase of the approximated Q is $2\theta_Q = \arg(pq^*)$, $\rho = \arg(\epsilon^2 q^* p^*)$ and $\gamma = \arg(q^* \epsilon')$. Best fit value of solar angle $\theta_\odot \approx 34^\circ$, $\tan 2\theta_\odot \approx 2.5$. It demands that $|\delta| \cos \frac{\rho}{2}$ and $2\Delta - \Delta' - |\delta'| \cos \gamma$ have to be nearly same order in magnitude. According to the Eq. (3.17), in order to generate solar mass difference successfully along with the solar angle those combination of parameters should have following expression

$$\begin{aligned} |\delta| \cos \frac{\rho}{2} &\approx \frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{4\sqrt{2}m_0^2} \sqrt{\frac{\tan^2 2\theta_{12}}{1 + \tan^2 2\theta_{12}}} \\ 2\Delta - \Delta' - |\delta'| \cos \gamma &\approx \frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{2m_0^2} \sqrt{\frac{1}{1 + \tan^2 2\theta_{12}}}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.18)$$

Again to get atmospheric mass difference in right range we should take

$$|\delta'| \cos \gamma \approx \Delta m_{32}^2 / 4m_0^2. \quad (3.19)$$

We can conclude from Eq. (3.18,3.19) that smallness of $\tilde{L}$ symmetry breaking parameters are required for successful generation of light neutrino mass differences and mixing angles. From Eq. (3.18,3.19) we can say that $|\delta'| \cos \gamma$ is larger in value compare to $|\delta| \cos \frac{\rho}{2}$ and $2\Delta - \Delta' - |\delta'| \cos \gamma$. So, three terms in $2\Delta - \Delta' - |\delta'| \cos \gamma$ are required to cancel among themselves to generate Δm_{21}^2 value correctly. So far we didn't tell about neutrinoless double beta decay. The relevant quantity is

$$(m_\nu)_{ee} \approx -m_0 \exp^{-i\theta_p}. \quad (3.20)$$

So, from the neutrinoless double beta decay bound Eq. (1.1) we can say that $m_0 \leq 0.89\text{eV}$. If m_0 is larger side of WMAP bound smallness of symmetry violating parameters will be confirmed. As larger value of m_0 also confirms quasi-degenerate mass spectrum, so we can say that the softness of $\tilde{L}$ symmetry violation and degeneracy of light neutrino mass spectrum are corelated. We have completed our discussion about light neutrino phenomenology except low energy CP violation in the leptonic sector. After successful predictions of light neutrino phenomenology let us see the leptogenesis part after $\tilde{L}$ symmetry violation and also let us observe how much value m_0 will require for generation of right sized baryon asymmetry.

3.2 Leptogenesis

We have already shown the $\tilde{L}$ symmetry violating M_R in Eq. (3.1). Our primary goal is to diagonalize M_R which is in same form of m_ν in Eq. (3.4). In order to do this we first diagonalize $H' = M_R M_R^\dagger$.

$$\begin{aligned} M_R &= \begin{pmatrix} p & \epsilon & \epsilon \\ \epsilon & \epsilon' & q \\ \epsilon & q & \epsilon' \end{pmatrix} \equiv \begin{pmatrix} A' & B' & B' \\ B' & C' & D' \\ B' & D' & C' \end{pmatrix} \\ H' &= M_R M_R^\dagger = \begin{pmatrix} P' & Q'^* & Q'^* \\ Q' & R' & S' \\ Q' & S' & R' \end{pmatrix} \end{aligned} \quad (3.21)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} P' &= |A'|^2 + 2|B'|^2 & Q' &= A'^* B' + B'^* (C' + D') \\ R' &= |B'|^2 + |C'|^2 + |D'|^2 & S' &= |B'|^2 + D' C'^* + C' D'^* \end{aligned} \quad (3.22)$$

The eigenvalues of H' are in similar form of eigenvalues of H in Eq. (3.10),

$$M_{2,1}^2 = \frac{P' + R' + S' \pm \sqrt{(R' + S' - P')^2 + 8|Q'|^2}}{2} \quad M_3^2 = R' - S' \quad (3.23)$$

and the diagonalizing matrix V of H' is in same form of U in Eq. (3.11),

$$V = \begin{pmatrix} J' & \frac{\sqrt{2}Q'^* J'}{M_2^2 - P'} & 0 \\ -\frac{Q' J'}{M_2^2 - P'} & \frac{J'}{\sqrt{2}} & -\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \\ -\frac{Q' J'}{M_2^2 - P'} & \frac{J'}{\sqrt{2}} & \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{where} \quad J' = \sqrt{\frac{M_2^2 - P'}{M_2^2 - M_1^2}}. \quad (3.24)$$

We define a quantity r which is $\tan 2\theta'_{12}$ from V matrix. It has similar expression like $\tan 2\theta_{12}$ of Eq. (3.13). So,

$$r = \tan 2\theta'_{12} = \frac{2\sqrt{2}|Q'|}{R' + S' - P'} \approx \frac{2\sqrt{2}|\delta| \cos \frac{\rho}{2}}{\Delta' + |\delta'| \cos \gamma}, \quad (3.25)$$

where we have used

$$\begin{aligned} R' + S' - P' &\approx 2|p|^2 (\Delta' + |\delta'| \cos \gamma) \\ Q' &\approx 2|p|^2 |\delta| \cos \frac{\rho}{2} \exp^{i\theta'_Q} \end{aligned} \quad (3.26)$$

with $2\theta'_Q = \arg(p * q) = -2\theta_Q$. This V can diagonalize M_R but the entries may not be real positive. So we can write

$$V^\dagger M_R V^* = \text{diag}(M_1 \exp^{i2\theta'_1}, M_2 \exp^{i2\theta'_2}, M_3 \exp^{i2\theta'_3}). \quad (3.27)$$

We can evaluate the phases from Eq. (3.27) and the obtained results are

$$\begin{aligned} \exp^{i2\theta'_1} &= \frac{1}{(M_2^2 - M_1^2)(M_2^2 - P')M_1} \left\{ A'(M_2^2 - P')^2 - 4Q'^* B'(M_2^2 - P') + 2Q'^{*2}(C' + D') \right\} \\ \exp^{i2\theta'_2} &= \frac{1}{(M_2^2 - M_1^2)(M_2^2 - P')M_2} \left\{ 2Q'^2 A' + 4Q' B'(M_2^2 - P') + (C' + D')(M_2^2 - P')^2 \right\} \\ \exp^{i2\theta'_3} &= \frac{1}{M_3} (C' - D'). \end{aligned} \quad (3.28)$$

This phases can be absorbed to V . The redefined V is

$$V' = V V_P \quad \text{where} \quad V_P = \text{diag}(\exp^{i\theta'_1}, \exp^{i\theta'_2}, \exp^{i\theta'_3}). \quad (3.29)$$

With this V' and m_D of Eq. (3.1) we can construct $m'_D \equiv m_D V'$. The relevant matrix h for leptogenesis takes the form

$$h = m_D'^{\dagger} m'_D = \begin{pmatrix} J'^2 \left\{ a^2 + \frac{2b^2|Q|^2}{(M_2^2 - P')^2} \right\} & \frac{\sqrt{2}J'^2 Q' (a^2 - b^2)}{M_2^2 - P'} \exp^{i(\theta'_1 - \theta'_2)} & 0 \\ \frac{\sqrt{2}J'^2 Q'^* (a^2 - b^2)}{M_2^2 - P'} \exp^{i(\theta'_2 - \theta'_1)} & J'^2 \left\{ b^2 + \frac{2a^2|Q|^2}{(M_2^2 - P')^2} \right\} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & b^2 \end{pmatrix}. \quad (3.30)$$

$h_{13} = h_{23} = 0$ ensure that N_3 decay asymmetry $\varepsilon_3 = 0$. We only now concentrate remaining two right handed neutrinos $N_{1,2}$. The important quantity for generating decay asymmetry parameters $\varepsilon_{1,2}$ is

$$\text{Im}(h_{12}^2) = -\text{Im}(h_{21}^2) = \frac{2(a^2 - b^2)^2}{(M_2^2 - M_1^2)^2} \text{Im}(Q'^2 \exp^{i2(\theta'_1 - \theta'_2)}), \quad (3.31)$$

where

$$\exp^{i2(\theta'_1 - \theta'_2)} \approx \frac{M_2}{M_1} \left[1 - \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + r^2}} (\Delta' + |\delta'| \exp^{i\gamma}) \right] \exp^{-i2\theta'_Q}. \quad (3.32)$$

Now using Eq. (3.23) let us write down the important ratio of the masses of right handed neutrinos,

$$x_{12} = \frac{M_2^2}{M_1^2} \approx 1 + 2(\Delta' + |\delta'| \cos \gamma) \sqrt{1 + r^2}. \quad (3.33)$$

Now using Eq. (3.23), Eq. (3.25), Eq. (3.26) and Eq. (3.32) to Eq. (3.31) we obtain

$$\text{Im}(h_{12}^2) = -\text{Im}(h_{21}^2) \approx -(a^2 - b^2)^2 \frac{r^2}{4(1 + r^2)^{3/2}} \times |\delta'| \sin \gamma \quad (3.34)$$

Due to the fact of smallness of $1 - x_{12}$ and $1 - x_{21}$ appreciable enhancement of $\varepsilon_{1,2}$ for self energy part $\varepsilon_{1,2}^S$ in Eq. (2.22) is possible when resonant condition

$$M_2 - M_1 \approx \Gamma_{1,2}/2 \quad (3.35)$$

is satisfied which is equivalent to the equality of the denominators of self energy term $\varepsilon_{1,2}^S$ in Eq. (2.22). We can rewrite this condition as

$$\begin{aligned} |1 - x_{12}| &\approx \frac{h_{22}}{4\pi v^2} \\ \implies 2(\Delta' + |\delta'| \cos \gamma) \sqrt{1 + r^2} &\approx \frac{a^2}{4\pi v^2} \end{aligned} \quad (3.36)$$

where we have used the Eq. (3.33). From the relations in Eq. (3.3) and Eq. (3.36) we can find heavy neutrino scale M in terms of m_0 and Δ' , $|\delta'| \cos \gamma$ and r ,

$$M \equiv |p| = \frac{a^2}{m_0} \approx \frac{8\pi v^2 (\Delta' + |\delta'| \cos \gamma) \sqrt{1 + r^2}}{m_0}. \quad (3.37)$$

At resonance vertex part of ε_i is negligible. Considering only self energy part Eq. (2.22) with resonant condition of Eq. (3.36) we have

$$\varepsilon_1 \approx \varepsilon_1^S = \frac{1}{4\pi v^2 h_{11}} \text{Im}(h_{12}^2) \times \frac{1}{2(1-x_{12})} = -\frac{\text{Im}(h_{12}^2)}{2h_{11}h_{22}}. \quad (3.38)$$

As $h_{11} \approx h_{22}$, $1-x_{12} \approx -(1-x_{21})$ and $\text{Im}(h_{12}^2) = -\text{Im}(h_{21}^2)$, so $\varepsilon_1 \approx \varepsilon_2$. Using Eq. (3.34) and $h_{11} \approx h_{22} \approx a^2$ to Eq. (3.38) we have

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon = \varepsilon_1 \approx \varepsilon_2 &\approx \frac{(a^2 - b^2)^2}{a^4} \times \frac{r^2}{8(1+r^2)^{3/2}} \times |\delta'| \sin \gamma \\ &\approx 4\Delta^2 \times \frac{r^2}{8(1+r^2)^{3/2}} \times |\delta'| \sin \gamma \end{aligned} \quad (3.39)$$

where we have used $b = a(1 + \Delta)$ from Eq. (3.2). One point we should mention that although $h_{13} = h_{23} = 0$, Δ_{CP} of Eq. (2.29) is non zero after $\tilde{L}$ violation as $M_2 \neq M_1$ and $\text{Im}(h_{12}^2) \neq 0$.

CP asymmetry parameters ε_i are related to the leptonic asymmetry parameters through Y_L as [26, 27, 22]

$$Y_L \equiv \frac{n_L - \bar{n}_L}{s} = \sum_i^3 \frac{\varepsilon_i \kappa_i}{g_{*i}} \quad (3.40)$$

where n_L is the lepton number density, $\bar{n}_L$ is the anti-lepton number density, s is the entropy density, κ_i is the dilution factor for the CP asymmetry ε_i and g_{*i} is the effective number of degrees of freedom [28] at temperature $T = M_i$. Value of g_{*i} in the SM with three right handed Majorana neutrinos is 112. The baryon asymmetry Y_B produced through the sphaleron transmutation of Y_L , while the quantum number $B - L$ remains conserved, is given by [29]

$$Y_B = \frac{\omega}{\omega - 1} Y_L \quad \text{with} \quad \omega = \frac{8N_F + 4N_H}{22N_F + 13N_H}, \quad (3.41)$$

where N_F is the number of fermion families and N_H is the number of Higgs doublets. The quantity $\omega = 28/79$ in Eq. (3.41) for SM. Now we introduce the relation between Y_B and η_B , where η_B is the baryon number density over photon number density n_γ . The relation is [30]

$$\eta_B = \left. \frac{s}{n_\gamma} \right|_0 Y_B = 7.0394 Y_B, \quad (3.42)$$

where the zero indicates present time. Now using the relations in Eqs. (3.40, 3.41, 3.42), $\omega = 28/79$ and $g_{*i} = 112$ we have

$$\eta_B = -3.451 \times 10^{-2} \sum_i \varepsilon_i \kappa_i. \quad (3.43)$$

This dilution factor κ_i approximately given by [27, 31, 32]

$$\kappa_i \simeq \frac{0.3}{K_i (\ln K_i)^{3/5}} \quad \text{with} \quad K_i = \frac{\Gamma_i}{H_i}, \quad (3.44)$$

where Γ_i is the decay width of N_i and H_i is Hubble constant at $T = M_i$. Their expressions are

$$\Gamma_i = \frac{h_{ii} M_i}{4\pi v^2} \quad \text{and} \quad H_i = 1.66 \sqrt{g_{*i}} \frac{M_i^2}{M_P}, \quad (3.45)$$

where $v = 246\text{GeV}$ and $M_P = 1.22 \times 10^{19}\text{GeV}$. Thus we have

$$K_i = \frac{M_P h_{ii}}{1.66 \times 4\pi \sqrt{g_{*i}} v^2 M_i}. \quad (3.46)$$

Now the quantities K_1 and K_2 from Eq. (3.46) takes the form

$$K = K_1 \approx K_2 \approx 4.5 \times 10^{-3} \times \frac{M_P m_0}{v^2} \approx 913.2 \times \frac{m_0}{\text{1eV}} \quad (3.47)$$

where $h_{11}/M_1 \approx h_{22}/M_2 \approx a^2/|p| = m_0$. With this we have

$$\kappa = \kappa_1 \approx \kappa_2 \approx \frac{0.3}{K(\ln K)^{3/5}} \approx 3.2 \times 10^{-4} \times \frac{1\text{eV}}{m_0(\ln K)^{3/5}}. \quad (3.48)$$

Using ε from Eq. (3.39) and κ of Eq. (3.48) to the expression of baryon asymmetry Eq. (3.43), we have

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_B &\approx -3.451 \times 10^{-2} \times 2 \times \varepsilon \kappa \\ &\approx -6.9 \times 10^{-2} \times 3.2 \times 10^{-4} \times \frac{1\text{eV}}{m_0(\ln K)^{3/5}} \times 4\Delta^2 \times \frac{r^2}{8(1+r^2)^{3/2}} \times |\delta'| \sin \gamma \\ &\approx -2.3 \times 10^{-5} \times \frac{1\text{eV}}{m_0} \times \left(\frac{1}{\ln K}\right)^{3/5} \times 4\Delta^2 \times \frac{r^2}{8(1+r^2)^{3/2}} \times \frac{\Delta m_{32}^2}{4m_0^2} \tan \gamma \end{aligned} \quad (3.49)$$

where we have used the expression of δ' from Eq. (3.19). Now for the numerical estimation let us consider two cases.

Case-I: Seeing Eq. (3.18) we first consider that $2\Delta \approx \Delta' + |\delta'| \cos \gamma \approx \frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{2m_0^2 \sqrt{1+\tan^2 2\theta_{12}}}$ which give $r \approx \tan 2\theta_{12}$ from Eq. (3.25). With this we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_B &\approx -2.3 \times 10^{-5} \times \frac{1\text{eV}}{m_0} \times \left(\frac{1}{\ln K}\right)^{3/5} \times \left(\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{2m_0^2}\right)^2 \times \frac{\tan^2 2\theta_{12}}{8(1+\tan^2 2\theta_{12})^{5/2}} \times \frac{\Delta m_{32}^2}{4m_0^2} \tan \gamma \\ &\approx -1.04 \times 10^{-19} \times \left(\frac{1\text{eV}}{m_0}\right)^7 \times \left(\frac{1}{\ln K}\right)^{3/5} \tan \gamma \end{aligned} \quad (3.50)$$

To get $\eta_B \approx 6.0 \times 10^{-10}$ we have to take $m_0 \approx \sqrt{\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2} = 0.045\text{eV}$ for $\gamma = -67^\circ$. We have the right handed neutrino mass scale $M \approx 3.0 \times 10^{13}\text{GeV}$ from Eq. (3.37). In this case we have $\delta \approx 6.5 \times 10^{-3}$ from Eq. (3.18) for small ρ and $\delta' = 0.63$ from Eq. (3.19).

Case-II: Again seeing Eq. (3.18) and Eq. (3.19) we also can consider that $2\Delta \approx \Delta' + |\delta'| \cos \gamma \approx \frac{\Delta m_{32}^2}{4m_0^2}$ which give $r \approx \frac{2\Delta m_{21}^2}{\Delta m_{32}^2} \times \sqrt{\frac{\tan^2 2\theta_{12}}{1+\tan^2 2\theta_{12}}}$ from Eq. (3.25). With this we have,

$$\begin{aligned} \eta_B &\approx -2.3 \times 10^{-5} \times \frac{1\text{eV}}{m_0} \times \left(\frac{1}{\ln K}\right)^{3/5} \times \left(\frac{\Delta m_{32}^2}{4m_0^2}\right)^2 \times \left(\frac{2\Delta m_{21}^2}{\Delta m_{32}^2}\right)^2 \\ &\quad \times \frac{\tan^2 2\theta_{12}}{8(1+\tan^2 2\theta_{12})} \times \frac{\Delta m_{32}^2}{4m_0^2} \tan \gamma \\ &\approx -2.0 \times 10^{-18} \times \left(\frac{1\text{eV}}{m_0}\right)^7 \times \left(\frac{1}{\ln K}\right)^{3/5} \tan \gamma. \end{aligned} \quad (3.51)$$

For this case to obtain $\eta_B \approx 6.0 \times 10^{-10}$ we have to take $m_0 \approx 0.067\text{eV}$ for $\gamma = -67^\circ$. In this case we have the right handed neutrino mass scale $M \approx 1.7 \times 10^{14}$ from Eq. (3.37). Here the $\tilde{L}$ symmetry violating parameters will be $\delta \approx 2.9 \times 10^{-3}$ and $\delta' \approx 0.28$ from Eq. (3.18) and Eq. (3.19) respectively. Again here we also have right sized baryon asymmetry with right sign compatible with the light neutrino phenomenology under soft breaking of $\tilde{L}$ symmetry. In Case-I fine cancellation between Δ' and $|\delta'| \cos \gamma$ is required. For Case-II fine cancellation is required between 2Δ and $\Delta' + |\delta'| \cos \gamma$. In both cases we keep γ in the fourth quadrant ($\gamma = -67^\circ$) to get $\tan \gamma$ negative which generates positive sign of baryon asymmetry. From Eq. (3.19) this corresponds to the fact that $\Delta m_{32}^2 > 0$ because $\cos \gamma$ is positive there. Now if we keep γ ($\gamma = 113^\circ$ for both cases) in the 2nd quadrant we can have the same results for baryon asymmetry. But, for this γ we can have $\Delta m_{32}^2 < 0$ from Eq. (3.19). So, normal and inverted ordering of quasi degenerate mass spectrum of light neutrinos can be obtained under proper choice of γ . From the discussion of the above two cases we can say that if $M \leq 10^{12}$ [14, 26, 27] this bound is not so strict we can have proper sized baryon asymmetry with right sign maintaining light neutrino phenomenology in tact under soft breaking of $\tilde{L}$ symmetry.

In SM framework RG evolution effects on mixing angles and masses are usually small because neutrino Yukawa couplings are small. In our case neutrino Yukawa couplings are not small and the neutrino mass spectrum is quasidegenerate kind. So, RG effect may be appreciable on light neutrino mass and mixing pattern [33, 34]. We have checked that in Case-I mixing pattern of light neutrino is stable under RG running. But, in Case-II solar angle has an appreciable effect from GUT scale to see-saw scale keeping other angles unaltered. We have also seen that RG evolution of m_0^2 , Δm_{21}^2 and Δm_{32}^2 are dominated by $\bar{\alpha}_{SM}$ [34]. The proper choice of GUT scale value of m_0^2 , Δm_{21}^2 and Δm_{32}^2 in both cases and solar angle in Case-II can match the low energy data of light neutrino. We also have observed that $\frac{m_0^2}{\Delta m_{21}^2}$, $\frac{m_0^2}{\Delta m_{32}^2}$, $\frac{\Delta m_{21}^2}{\Delta m_{32}^2}$ are almost independent of scale. It ensures that RG evolution has a little effect on our results of baryon asymmetry in both cases.

4 Conclusion

We have studied light neutrino phenomenology for the softly broken $\tilde{L}$ symmetry keeping $S_2^{\mu\tau}$ symmetry which is an accidental symmetry of light and heavy Majorana neutrino mass terms for the exact $\tilde{L}$ symmetry. We restrict ourselves to type-I See-Saw mechanism for generation of light neutrino mass term. Under the assumption of $\tilde{L}$ symmetry preserving non zero parameters in the neutrino sector are nearly same, we can have quasi degenerate mass spectrum for both light and heavy Majorana neutrino. We have seen that softness of the $\tilde{L}$ symmetry violation depends on the degeneracy of the light neutrino mass spectrum. More degeneracy implies more soft $\tilde{L}$ violation. Common light neutrino mass scale can be as large as the upper bound from WMAP data. Soft breaking of $\tilde{L}$ symmetry have an ability to generate light neutrino phenomenology. We are in $\theta_{13} = 0$ and $\theta_{23} = \frac{\pi}{4}$ case. In [16] authors consider radiative correction in addition to soft breaking of $\tilde{L}$ symmetry. They need large $\tan\beta$ for generation appreciable radiative correction from SUSY. They predicted non-zero θ_{13} and hierarchy of solar and atmospheric mass difference. We restrict ourselves to SM framework with three singlet right handed neutrinos. We have found that the exact $\tilde{L}$ symmetry forbids leptogenesis. We have studied whether it is possible or not to generate right sized baryon asymmetry after breaking of $\tilde{L}$ symmetry. The quasi-degenerate mass spectrum of right handed neutrinos opens an option for resonant kind of leptogenesis. We have found that the degeneracy of right handed neutrino mass spectrum is restricted through light neutrino data. We have found that common light neutrino mass scale m_0 have to be of the order of $\sqrt{\Delta m_{\text{atm}}^2}$ for generation of WMAP value of baryon asymmetry for one case. The resonant condition generates the scale of heavy Majorana neutrino mass which is nearly 10^{13} GeV in that case. Little larger value of m_0 and M are required for another case to generate baryon asymmetry. If the bound $M \leq 10^{12}$ GeV as pointed out in [14, 26, 27] is confirmed present model could not predict baryon asymmetry. Then the baryon asymmetry have to have different origin other than the heavy Majorana neutrino decay generated leptogenesis and its transmutation to baryon asymmetry through sphaleron. Again we have seen that both normal and inverted types of ordering of quasidegenerate mass spectrum of light neutrinos are compatible with baryon asymmetry data. We have checked that solar angle in Case-II and m_0^2 , Δm_{21}^2 and Δm_{32}^2 masses in both cases have appreciable RG effects. Proper choice of GUT scale value of those quantities can match the low energy data of light neutrino. We have also seen that RG evolution has a little effect on the predictions of baryon asymmetry. Another point we should mention that the $S_2^{\mu\tau}$ is broken in the charged lepton mass term. To break $S_2^{\mu\tau}$ naturally more two scalar doublets Φ' and Φ'' can be adapted as in [14]. In this [14] article authors considered that Φ couples to e_R , Φ' couples to μ_R and Φ'' couples to τ_R . Under $S_2^{\mu\tau}$ $\mu \leftrightarrow \tau$, the Φ' remains invariant while Φ'' changes sign; this leads to $m_\mu \neq m_\tau$. Incorporation of the two scalar does not affect our light neutrino phenomenology. The effective degrees of freedom g^* changes 112 to 120 and ω changes 28/79 to 12/35 which cannot change appreciably of our predictions in baryon asymmetry.

Acknowledgments The author acknowledges helpful discussions with Palash B. Pal. He also thanks him for reading the manuscript and suggesting improvements. The author also

thanks Michael Schimdt for his valuable comments about the RG effect.

References

- [1] B. Aharmim *et al.* [SNO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. C **72**, 055502 (2005) [arXiv:nucl-ex/0502021].
- [2] S. Fukuda *et al.* [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **539**, 179 (2002); Q. R. Ahmad *et al.* [SNO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 011301 (2002); Q. R. Ahmad *et al.* [SNO Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **89**, 011302 (2002); B. T. Cleveland *et al.*, Astrophys. J. **496**, 505 (1998); J. N. Abdurashitov *et al.* [SAGE Collaboration], J. Exp. Theor. Phys. **95**, 181 (2002) [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **122**, 211 (2002)]; W. Hampel *et al.* [GALLEX Collaboration], Phys. Lett. B **447**, 127 (1999); C. Cattadori, Talk at Neutrino 2004, Paris, France, June 14-19, 2004.
- [3] T. Araki *et al.* [KamLAND Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 081801 (2005).
- [4] S. Goswami, A. Bandyopadhyay and S. Choubey, Nucl. Phys. Proc. Suppl. **143**, 121 (2005); A. Bandyopadhyay *et al.*, Phys. Lett. B **608**, 115 (2005); G. L. Fogli *et al.*, arXiv:hep-ph/0506083; M. Maltoni *et al.*, New J. Phys. **6**, 122 (2004).
- [5] A. Strumia and F. Vissani, Nucl. Phys. B **726**, 294 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0503246].
- [6] Y. Ashie *et al.* [Super-Kamiokande Collaboration], Phys. Rev. D **71**, 112005 (2005).
- [7] E. Aliu *et al.* [K2K Collaboration], Phys. Rev. Lett. **94**, 081802 (2005).
- [8] M. Apollonio *et al.*, Eur. Phys. J. C **27**, 331 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ex/0301017].
- [9] B. Pontecorvo, Sov. Phys. JETP **6**, 429 (1957) [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **33**, 549 (1957)]; B. Pontecorvo, Sov. Phys. JETP **7**, 172 (1958) [Zh. Eksp. Teor. Fiz. **34**, 247 (1957)]; Z. Maki, M. Nakagawa and S. Sakata, Prog. Theor. Phys. **28**, 870 (1962).
- [10] S. R. Elliott and J. Engel, J. Phys. G **30**, R183 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0405078].
- [11] D. N. Spergel *et al.* [WMAP Collaboration], Astrophys. J. Suppl. **148**, 175 (2003) [arXiv:astro-ph/0302209].
- [12] G. L. Fogli, E. Lisi, A. Marrone, A. Melchiorri, A. Palazzo, P. Serra and J. Silk, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 113003 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0408045].
- [13] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, JHEP **0107**, 045 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0105212]. W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, Acta Phys. Polon. B **32**, 3719 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0110041]. W. Grimus, S. Kaneko, L. Lavoura, H. Sawanaka and M. Tanimoto, JHEP **0601**, 110 (2006) [arXiv:hep-ph/0510326] and reference there. A. Ghosal, Mod. Phys. Lett. A **19**, 2579 (2004). T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasue, Phys. Lett. B **524**, 308 (2002).

- [arXiv:hep-ph/0110303]. T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasue, Phys. Rev. D **67**, 015006 (2003) [arXiv:hep-ph/0209294]. I. Aizawa, M. Ishiguro, T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasue, Phys. Rev. D **70**, 015011 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0405201].
- [14] W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, J. Phys. G **30**, 1073 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0311362].
- [15] R. N. Mohapatra and P. B. Pal, “Massive neutrinos in physics and astrophysics. Second edition,” World Sci. Lect. Notes Phys. **60**, 1 (1998) [World Sci. Lect. Notes Phys. **72**, 1 (2004)].
- [16] S. Choubey and W. Rodejohann, Eur. Phys. J. C **40**, 259 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0411190].
- [17] S. T. Petcov, Phys. Lett. B **110**, 245 (1982); for more recent studies see, e.g., R. Barbieri *et al.*, JHEP **9812**, 017 (1998); A. S. Joshipura and S. D. Rindani, Eur. Phys. J. C **14**, 85 (2000); R. N. Mohapatra, A. Perez-Lorenzana and C. A. de Sousa Pires, Phys. Lett. B **474**, 355 (2000); Q. Shafi and Z. Tavartkiladze, Phys. Lett. B **482**, 145 (2000). L. Lavoura, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 093011 (2000); W. Grimus and L. Lavoura, Phys. Rev. D **62**, 093012 (2000); T. Kitabayashi and M. Yasue, Phys. Rev. D **63**, 095002 (2001); A. Aranda, C. D. Carone and P. Meade, Phys. Rev. D **65**, 013011 (2002); K. S. Babu and R. N. Mohapatra, Phys. Lett. B **532**, 77 (2002); H. J. He, D. A. Dicus and J. N. Ng, Phys. Lett. B **536**, 83 (2002) H. S. Goh, R. N. Mohapatra and S. P. Ng, Phys. Lett. B **542**, 116 (2002); G. K. Leontaris, J. Rizos and A. Psallidas, Phys. Lett. B **597**, 182 (2004).
- [18] L. Lavoura and W. Grimus, JHEP **0009**, 007 (2000); hep-ph/0410279.
- [19] S. T. Petcov and W. Rodejohann, Phys. Rev. D **71**, 073002 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0409135].
- [20] K. S. Babu, E. Ma and J. W. F. Valle, Phys. Lett. B **552**, 207 (2003); M. Hirsch *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **69**, 093006 (2004).
- [21] X. G. He *et al.*, Phys. Rev. D **43**, R22 (1991); Phys. Rev. D **44**, 2118 (1991); see also E. Ma, D. P. Roy and S. Roy, Phys. Lett. B **525**, 101 (2002).
- [22] A. Pilaftsis and T. E. J. Underwood, Nucl. Phys. B **692**, 303 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0309342].
- [23] M. Fukugita and T. Yanagida, Phys. Lett. B **174**, 45 (1986).
- [24] M. A. Luty, Phys. Rev. D **45**, 455 (1992). M. Flanz, E. A. Paschos and U. Sarkar, Phys. Lett. B **345**, 248 (1995) [Erratum-ibid. B **382**, 447 (1996)] [arXiv:hep-ph/9411366]. M. Plumacher, Z. Phys. C **74**, 549 (1997) [arXiv:hep-ph/9604229]. L. Covi, E. Roulet and F. Vissani, Phys. Lett. B **384**, 169 (1996) [arXiv:hep-ph/9605319]. W. Buchmuller and M. Plumacher, Phys. Lett. B **431**, 354 (1998) [arXiv:hep-ph/9710460].
- [25] G. C. Branco, T. Morozumi, B. M. Nobre and M. N. Rebelo, Nucl. Phys. B **617**, 475 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0107164].

- [26] A. Pilaftsis, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **14**, 1811 (1999) [arXiv:hep-ph/9812256]. W. Buchmuller and M. Plumacher, Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **15**, 5047 (2000) [arXiv:hep-ph/0007176]. E. A. Paschos, Pramana **62**, 359 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0308261].
- [27] H. B. Nielsen and Y. Takanishi, Phys. Lett. B **507**, 241 (2001) [arXiv:hep-ph/0101307].
- [28] M. Roos 1994, “Introduction to cosmology,” (John Wiley & Sons)
- [29] J. A. Harvey and M. S. Turner, Phys. Rev. D **42**, 3344 (1990).
- [30] V. Barger, D. A. Dicus, H. J. He and T. j. Li, Phys. Lett. B **583**, 173 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0310278]. W. Buchmuller, P. Di Bari and M. Plumacher, Nucl. Phys. B **643**, 367 (2002) [arXiv:hep-ph/0205349].
- [31] E. W. Kolb and M. S. Turner 1990, “The Early Universe” (Addison-Wesley)
- [32] G. F. Giudice, A. Notari, M. Raidal, A. Riotto and A. Strumia, Nucl. Phys. B **685**, 89 (2004) [arXiv:hep-ph/0310123].
- [33] W. Rodejohann and M. A. Schmidt, arXiv:hep-ph/0507300.
- [34] S. Antusch, J. Kersten, M. Lindner, M. Ratz and M. A. Schmidt, JHEP **0503**, 024 (2005) [arXiv:hep-ph/0501272].